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Linking income and health has been a notorious challenge for researchers. With multiple sources of income such as earnings, cash transfer and near cash transfer programs, it is difficult to isolate their individual impacts on health. The 1993 expansion to the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), the largest and most recent federal expansion to date, provided researchers a unique opportunity.

In a new study, Center for Poverty Research faculty affiliates Hilary W. Hoynes, Douglas L. Miller and David Simon examine the 1993 EITC expansion to measure the effect the extra income had on rates of low birth weight. They find that while the EITC is not a health program, it does have a clear impact on newborn health.

Key Findings

- Rates of low birth weight decrease with increased EITC income.
- $1,000 of EITC income generated by the 1993 expansion reduced rates of low birth weight by 7% overall, and by 8.2% among African Americans.
- Using multiple expansions (1986, 1990, 1993) a $1,000 increase in the maximum EITC reduced rates of low birth weight by 5.6% overall, and by 7.2% among African Americans.

The Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) has become a central part of the U.S. safety net for lower-income working families. It reduces poverty through two channels: it increases income immediately with a cash credit, and it provides incentives to work.

In 2009 the EITC reached nearly 26 million families at a cost of $57.7 billion, compared to $53.6 billion1 for Food Stamps (SNAP)2 and $23 billion in cash welfare, job training programs and support services through TANF.3 In 2010 it removed six million from poverty, half of them children.4 It also leads to increases in employment among single mothers.5

Individual states have built on the federal model. Twenty-three states and the District of Columbia have EITC’s that supplement the federal tax credit at rates that range from 3.5 percent of the federal rate in Louisiana to up to 50 percent in Maryland. In 2013, eight states are considering either establishing an EITC or altering ones they currently have.

While the EITC is not a health program, the authors find that it leads to improvements in birth weights comparable to impacts found with food assistance programs like SNAP and WIC. In addition to being a standard measure of infant health, birth weight is an effective predictor of adult health as well as the economic outcomes that are the EITC’s primary concern.

Income and Newborn Health

To date, this study is the only one to examine how EITC income affects low birth weights across specific demographic groups, and to confirm its findings across multiple EITC expansions. Researchers began their in-depth analysis of the 1993 EITC expansion with data from U.S. Vital Statistics, which provided birth weight records as well as demographic information on mothers that included age, race, ethnicity, education and marital status, as well as the number births they have had. With this information, researchers charted the overall impact each of the phased-in increases in EITC income had on low birth weights across demographic groups.

To figure out dollar-for-dollar effects between different demographic groups, researchers used Current Population Survey (CPS) data in conjunction with the TAXSIM tax liability estimating tool from the National Bureau of Economic Research. With these additional data sets, researchers calculated the average change in EITC eligibility and payments from year to year, and with each additional child.

To confirm their findings, researchers then applied their model to EITC expansions in 1986 and 1990. Compared to the 1993 expansion, the impacts on low birth weight were effectively the same.

Significant Improvements

This study confirms that EITC income is associated with decreases in rates of low birth weights as well as increases in average birth weights. Average birth weight, pre-term, weight-for-age and APGAR also show significant improvements.

Demographic groups that showed the most significant improvements had greater increases in EITC income, greater socioeconomic risk or both. Researchers also found that after the 1993 expansion, decreases in the number of low birth weight births grew over time. This is consistent with the phased-in nature of the expansion.

Across all demographics, $1,000 of EITC income reduced instances of low birth weight by seven percent, but by 8.2 percent among African Americans. To compare, a 2011 study found that food stamps (SNAP), with an annual benefit cost of $2,150, reduced low birth weights among African Americans by nine percent per $1,000 of benefits.

The High-impact Demographic

Researchers focused their analysis on a high-impact demographic that is most affected by additional EITC earnings. This group consisted of single women between the ages of 18-45 with less than a high school education. For the 1998 tax year, about 42 percent of those who gave birth in this group were eligible for the EITC.

Even including those who may not have received the EITC, the 1993 expansion reduced rates of low birth weight across this demographic for second-born infants by two percent and for third and subsequent births by five percent. Among African Americans it reduced the likelihood of low birth weight for all births by five percent, and for the third and subsequent births by 10 percent.

Making the Connections

While the EITC has an overall effect of driving down rates of low birth weight, the mechanisms for this connection are complex. The study uses multiple tests to control for external factors that include pre-existing trends, expansions in Medicaid, welfare reform and variations in state EITCs and unemployment rates.

Researchers did find a pathway from increases in prenatal care and reductions in smoking to improved infant health. Pre-natal care, and its regular contact with doctors during pregnancy, could also be how EITC income was associated with lower rates of smoking and drinking during pregnancy.
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