Material Hardship Shows a Clearer Picture of Mental Health among Low-wage Workers
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Official measures of poverty may not capture the difficulties afflicting low-wage workers, since households can still experience material hardship while not considered poor by official measures. From a survey of front-line service workers, we find that material hardship is associated with higher levels of self-reported depression and overall poorer mental health. This suggests that the mental health of low-wage workers may benefit from laws that do not only increase earnings but also facilitate income stability. Low-wage workers may also benefit from programs that directly address material hardship.

Employment may not protect low-wage workers against material hardship. Many low-wage workers have considerable variation in income because of seasonal work, shorter shifts and other work-based disruptions. Even if yearly income is above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), income may vary greatly from month to month. Low-wage workers are also more likely to work part-time.

“Material hardship” refers to difficulty obtaining basic necessities such as food, rent and health care. It has been linked to an array of health problems, such as increased stress and poor mental health. The modest association between official poverty and material hardship suggests that they tap different dimensions of economic standing.

Measuring Material Hardship

For this study, we analyzed material hardship with 2003-07 surveys with workers in service occupations that include food and alcoholic beverage serving and hairstyling or barbering. Although Census data indicates that less than two percent of full-time workers held these occupations, they are in the 30 most common among low-wage workers.

Study participants lived in one of three counties that are part of the greater metropolitan area of Sacramento, California. They were interviewed four times with no less than four months between each interview. In the first wave, 115 people working as stylists/barbers were interviewed, and 90 who worked as food servers. Approximately 87 percent of those in hair cutting and styling completed all four interviews, as did 75 percent of those working as food servers.

We measured material hardship with a continuous scale that describes how often during the four months prior to each interview respondents experienced: a) having problems paying for basic necessities; b) not having enough food because of a lack of money; and c) not having the quality or variety of food desired because of a lack of money.

This study suggests that indicators of material hardship may better capture the economic difficulties and mental health issues that low-wage workers experience than the Official Poverty Measure.

Key Facts

Low-wage workers are more likely to experience material hardship than to have an income below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL).

Becoming poor is associated with an increase in the probability of depression by one percentage point. The probability was 30 percentage points higher for someone with high material hardship, compared to someone with low material hardship.

This study suggests that indicators of material hardship may better capture the economic difficulties and mental health issues that low-wage workers experience than the Official Poverty Measure.
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Low-wage Work and Material Hardship

At the first wave of interviews, the median monthly household income (wages and tips) was $2,040 for servers and $2,256 for stylists/barbers. Incomes fluctuated. Servers’ median income fell throughout the study to $1,830 (wave 2), $1,460 (wave 3) and $1,100 (wave 4), whereas stylists’ median income fell to $1,934 (wave 2), rose to $2,490 (wave 3), then fell to $2,144 (wave 4).

At wave 1, about five percent of those in food and alcoholic beverage serving and three percent in hairstyling or barbering earned below FPL. For material hardship, in wave 1 approximately 20 percent of servers and eight percent of stylists had scores of two or greater (occupation means are 1.49 and 1.21, respectively). These people reported experiencing material hardship at least some of the time.

Figures differences when individuals entered or left poverty.

Reducing Material Hardship

This study suggests that material hardship more adequately describes conditions associated with poorer mental health among low-wage workers than the Official Poverty Measure. Measuring a person or household’s material hardship and economic status multiple times a year would better capture precarious financial situations.

Programs such as food stamps, housing subsidies and discounts on utility bills, can address material hardship directly. Income instability is associated with material hardship and poor mental health among low-wage workers, and laws that stabilize hours and wages, including an increase to the minimum wage and guaranteed work hours, may mitigate these fluctuations.
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