Financial Stability Improves Chances of Family Reunification
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Children are removed from their families for different reasons, but poverty and disconnection from financial support such as employment or public benefits are associated with referrals to child welfare and children being placed outside the home. Our new research1 suggests that efforts to minimize the negative financial impact of child placement for parents have the potential to improve both the financial lives of vulnerable families and their chances for reunification.

The growth in precarious employment and single-parent families, accompanied by sizable policy shifts, have increased economic disconnection among families. Economic disconnection is defined as having no employed parent or receiving no public benefits.

Welfare time limits, a part of the 1996 welfare reform, changed the economic landscape for poor families. The new Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program imposed a five-year lifetime limit on cash welfare with a focus on work. Caseloads declined and employment increased, but the number of families without work or public benefits also grew.2 Research has found that families with an involuntary exit from TANF had a higher risk of a child maltreatment case two years later.3 Participation in the welfare and child welfare systems also imposes conflicting mandates. The 1997 Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) put a limit on how long a family had to reunify with their removed children. It also provided incentives for states to increase adoptions.

However, having a child removed in itself may make it more difficult to maintain economic connection. Parents may have to choose between work and required child welfare meetings, appearances in court and services for substance abuse while relying on public transportation.

Observing Family Trajectories

Our new research highlights mechanisms that drive the complex relationship between economic disconnection and child welfare involvement. Our objective was to understand how economic connection, either to benefits or employment, changes when a child is removed from a family, as well as chances for reunification.

Linked administrative data on over 15,000 primary caregivers provided three years of continuous longitudinal data. This offers the clearest picture to date of the economic trajectories of families involved in the child welfare system. Data from the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) covered all children entering out-of-home placement for the first time in Washington State from 2000-07. We restricted our analysis to identified birth and adoptive parents (95% of primary caregivers) and to placements lasting over seven days.

We linked these data to other administrative records with the Integrated Client Database (ICDB), a longitudinal database that draws from over 30 data systems. Caregivers are considered matched if they have any record of employment or benefit receipt in the 11 years of data (97.6% of primary caregivers). We used supplemental data on SNAP receipt to help distinguish between attrition and disconnection.

Economic Connection and Disconnection

We found that the relationship between economic disconnection and involvement in the child welfare system involvement works in several interrelated ways:

• Child removal can immediately result in disconnection if a household’s eligibility for public benefits was based on that child.
• Parents may be unable to comply with work requirements for benefits or to maintain employment due to mandatory attendance at classes, programs or court dates while involved with the child welfare system.

Key Facts

Parents who are employed or receiving cash assistance after their interaction with child welfare are most likely to reunify with their children.

The out-of-home placement of a child is associated with a caregiver’s increased likelihood of not being employed and of not receiving cash assistance.

Given the association between financial resources and reunification, efforts to minimize the negative financial impact of a child’s out-of-home placement on parents have the potential to improve chances for reunification.

• Disconnection may result in child welfare involvement due to insufficient resources to meet children's basic needs, or through behaviors related to the stress of living in extreme poverty.
• Economic, health or other challenges may lead to disconnection and child welfare involvement.

About half of families in our sample experienced considerable economic volatility. These caregivers tended to be younger, have younger children and were more likely have children removed for neglect and, to a lesser extent, substance abuse. The more economically stable families were either consistently connected (39%) or consistently disconnected (13.1%) throughout child welfare involvement.

Families that lost connection during child removal (30%) had lower reunification rates than average. Of these families, 7.3 percent experienced disconnection before child removal. This suggests that disconnection (or events precipitating disconnection) may have prompted child welfare involvement.

Another 16.6 percent of families showed either an immediate or steady decline in connection after their children were removed. This suggests that the loss of the child resulted in either an immediate loss of public benefits or a decline in employment.

Chances for Reunification
Caregivers whose involvement ended in economic connection (56.9%) were most likely to reunify with their children. The groups that lost economic connection in the child removal process (31.2%) had lower reunification rates than average.

About 28 percent of mothers in the sample lived with a co-resident partner. For about two-thirds of caregivers we were able to identify a potentially supporting partner (PSP), typically the child’s non-resident biological father. Although it is unclear whether PSPs provide economic support, caregivers with a connected PSP in the placement quarter were more likely to reunify (64.3%) than those with a disconnected PSP (58.7%) or without a PSP (55.5%). For those with financial instability, PSPs increased connection by as much as 20 percentage points.

Support for Vulnerable Families
Policy makers should consider the impact child removal has on a family’s financial future and its consequences for reunification. Families becoming economically connected around the time of placement suggest that child welfare may be a primary means for accessing financial support.

The combination of high unemployment and limited TANF benefits during the recent fiscal crisis was especially hard for families involved with child welfare. At greatest risk of losing children and economic connection are younger caregivers who are likely to have less experience in the workforce and with public systems. Their lives may also be

Figure 1: Family Economic Trajectories around an Out-of-home Placement
This graph shows the financial trajectories of families who experienced a child’s out-of-home placement. Nearly half of the sample are either consistently connected economically either through employment or public benefits or chronically disconnected from both. The chart shows that child welfare involvement can end with both economic connection or disconnection.
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